

PRESS/ Preventing - RESponding - Supporting - young survivors of GBV: sexual harassment, sexual and cyberviolence

CERV PROJECT GRANTS - Project number 101049295

Deliverable 2.1. State of the art report

Written by

- Diana Manesi Centre Diotima (Part I and Part II)
- Sophia Kanaouti and Patricia Gerakopoulou NKUA (Part III) Edited by
- Anna Vouyioukas Centre Diotima

April 2022





1

Contents

PART I – SEXUAL HARASSMENT

- 1.1. Genealogy of the term
- 1.2. Prevalence of sexual harassment on a European and national level
- 1.3. International, European and National legal framework
- 1.3.1 The International and European legal context
- 1.3.2. The Greek legal context

PART II – CYBERVIOLENCE

- 2.1. In search of a comprehensive definition
- 2.2. Prevalence of cyberviolence
- 2.3. International and European legal context
- 2.3.1. UN Resolutions, Strategies and Reports
- 2.3.2. Council of Europe Treaties
- 2.3.3. European Union Legal Framework
- 2.3.4. European Parliament Resolutions

PART III - SEXUAL HARASSMENT AND CYBERVIOLENCE IN THE MEDIA

- 3.1. Introductory remarks
- 3.2. Media reporting of gender-based violence
- 3.3. Media reporting and the Greek #Metoo

Bibliography







PART I – SEXUAL HARASSMENT

1.1. Genealogy of the term¹

The history of the legal concept of sexual harassment starts in the United States, where the feminist lawyer Catherine MacKinnon, who spurred the legal debate in the US back in the 1970s, referred to sexual harassment as "the unwanted imposition of sexual requirements in the context of a relationship of unequal power. Central to the concept is the use of power derived from one social sphere to lever benefits or impose deprivations in another (MacKinnon, 1979: 1)." MacKinnon goes on to distinguish two forms of sexual harassment:

- 1. Quid pro quo in which sexual compliance is exchanged or proposed to be exchanged for an employment opportunity. Following MacKinnon, she suggests that "the quid pro quo arises most powerfully within the context of horizontal segregation, in which women are employed in feminized jobs, such as office work, as a part of jobs vertically stratified by sex, with men holding the power to hire and fire women. In a job which is defined according to gender, noncompliance with all of the job's requirements, which may at the boss's whim come to include sexual tolerance or activity, operatively "disqualifies" a woman for the job." (MacKinnon, 1979:32)
- 2. Hostile conditions of work where the sexual harassment is more difficult to locate. Though it is less clear, it is more pervasive since sexual harassment as a condition of work consists in making the work environment unbearable for women. As suggested by MacKinnon "unwanted sexual advances, made simply because she has a woman's body, can be a daily part of a woman's work life. She may be constantly felt or pinched, visually undressed and stared at, surreptitiously kissed, commented upon, manipulated into being found alone, and generally taken advantage of at work—but never promised or denied anything explicitly connected with her job" (MacKinnon, 1979: 40).

Apart from MacKinnon's definition, Lyn Farley, writer and journalist was hired by Cornell University to teach the course "Women and labour" at the Women's Studies Department. In 1975, inspired by the case of Carmita Wood, an assistant of professor Boyce McDaniel, a group of feminists at Cornell University created the group "Working Women United." Carmita Wood resigned due to the ongoing harassment she was experiencing from professor McDaniel. In April 1975, Lyn Farley testified before the New York City Human Rights Commission Hearings on Women and Work. She defined sexual harassment as "unwanted sexual advances against women employees by male supervisors, bosses, foremen or managers." She gave examples: "It often means that a woman is hired because she is pretty, regardless of her qualifications; that a woman's job security is eternally dependent on how well she pleases her boss, and he often thinks sexual companionship is part of the job description; and that women are fired because they have aged or they are too independent or they say 'no' to sexual byplay." A journalist at the New York Times heard her testimony and wrote a relevant article entitled: "Women begin to speak out against sexual harassment at work." By the end of 1975, Lyn Farley's notion of sexual harassment was well-known and in 1978 she published her book "Sexual Shakedown: The sexual harassment of women on the job." The initial definition of sexual harassment presumed that harassing behaviors need to take place on a long-term basis and that sexual harassment is linked to sex. In the future, these presumptions were challenged and redefined (Crouch, 2001).

In 1980, Frank Till in his work "Sexual harassment: A report on sexual harassment of students" (1980) developed a famous typology on sexual harassment. His typology includes those behaviours which are widely associated with sexual harassment and those which do not include any form of sexual interaction:

 $^{^{}m 1}$ For a more detailed account of the term see also Moshovakou, Papagiannopoulou, 2022: 26-39.





- Gender- based harassment: it includes sexist remarks and attitudes that reflect devaluing and negative perceptions about women. These attitudes do not necessarily correlate to any form of sexual coercion.
- Seductive harassment, which includes unwanted erotic proposals that make others uncomfortable.
- Sexual bribery, which consists in forcing someone to engage in sexual activities while promising some kind of remuneration.
- Sexual coercion, which consists in forcing someone to engage in sexual activities while fearing potential penalties or punishments.
- Sexual assault and/or attack

Frank Till's typology was further developed by <u>Fitzgerald & Shullman</u> (1985) who determined that the universe of harassing conduct could account for by three broad categories: *gender harassment, unwanted sexual attention*, and *sexual coercion*.

- Gender harassment aims not to elicit sexual cooperation, but rather expresses insulting, degrading, or contemptuous attitudes about women; its essence is contempt and hostility, causing some writers to label it *gender hostility* (Fitzgerald & Cortina, 1985: 8)
- Unwanted sexual attention is exactly sexual advances that are uninvited, unwanted and unreciprocated by the recipient. These include both verbal and physical behaviors, including sexually suggestive comments and compliments, attempts to establish sexual or romantic/intimate relationships, and unwanted touching. Although unwelcome, annoying and worse, such experiences are not explicitly linked to any job condition or consideration (Fitzgerald & Cortina, 1985: 9)
- Sexual coercion, long thought to be the paradigmatic harassment experience, is a relatively rare situation in which unwanted sexual attention is combined with various job-related pressures, such as bribes, and/or threats to force acquiescence (e.g., offering or implying a promotion in exchange for sexual favors, threatening termination unless sexual demands are met). Such incidents combine the categories of sexual bribery and sexual coercion/threat delineated in Till's (1980) conceptualization (Fitzgerald & Cortina, 1985: 9).

In 1980, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission provided the following definition of sexual harassment:

"Unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and other verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature constitutes sexual harassment when: 1) submission to such conduct is made either explicitly or implicitly a term or condition of an individual's employment, 2) submission to or rejection of such conduct by an individual is used as the basis for employment decisions affecting such individual, or 3) such conduct has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with an individual's work performance, or creating an intimidating, hostile or offensive working environment." (EEOC, 1980)

The first and second element, that is, when an individual experiences sexual harassment as a term of her employment or as the basis for employment decisions affecting the individual coincides with MacKinnon's notion of *quid pro quo*, whereas the third element, namely conduct that has the effect of unreasonably interfering with an individual's work performance through the creation of a hostile work environment coincides with MacKinnon's notion of hostile conditions of work.

The following years, international (ILO) and European (EU) organisations will clarify sexual harassment in a manner that includes the following forms: Bodily forms of harassment (e.g. unwanted touches, kissing, groping, sexual assault, rape); Verbal forms of harassment (e.g. offensive questions, sexual comments); Non- verbal forms of harassment (e.g. catcalling, sexually-provocative, offensive or harassing gazing etc.); Cyber forms of harassment (e.g. sending inappropriate pictures to somebody etc.).





1.2. Prevalence of sexual harassment on a European and national level

According to the <u>FRA report</u> (2014a: 95-96), 83 to 102 million women (45% to 55% of women) in the EU-28 have experienced sexual harassment since the age of 15 and 13% to 21% in the EU-28 have experienced sexual harassment in the 12 months before the survey interview alone. When looking only at six specific forms of sexual harassment, which have been identified in the survey as more threatening and serious for the respondent: 45% of women in the EU have experienced these forms of sexual harassment at least once in their lifetime. Among women who have experienced sexual harassment at least once since the age of 15, 32% indicated somebody from the employment context – such as a colleague, a boss or a customer – as a perpetrator.

Regarding the forms of sexual harassment, 29% of women in the EU have experienced unwelcome touching, hugging or kissing since they were 15 years old; 24% of women have been subjected to sexually suggestive comments or jokes that offended them since the age of 15; 11% of women have received unwanted, offensive sexually explicit emails or SMS messages, or offensive, inappropriate advances on social networking sites (referring to experiences since the age of 15).

Looking at repeat victimisation, one in five women (19%) has experienced unwelcome touching, hugging or kissing at least twice since she was 15 years old, and 6% of women have been subjected to this physical form of harassment more than six times since the age of 15. Some 37% of all victimised women have been confronted with two or three different forms of sexual harassment since the age of 15, 27% with four to six different forms, and 8% with seven or more different forms.

Generally, the risk of exposure to sexual harassment is above average for women aged between 18 and 39 years. More than one in three women (38%) aged between 18 and 29 years experienced at least one form of sexual harassment in the 12 months before the survey, as well as almost one in five women (24 %) aged between 30 and 39 year. Sexual harassment is more commonly experienced by women with a university degree and by women in the highest occupational groups: 75% of women in the top management category and 74% of those in the professional occupational category have experienced sexual harassment in their lifetime, compared with 44% of women in the occupational category 'skilled manual worker' or 41% of women who state that they have never done paid work. In most cases of sexual harassment since a woman was 15 years old (68%), the perpetrator was somebody she did not know. Other perpetrators of sexual harassment include people whom the woman knows (without specifying it further) (35%), someone related to a woman's employment such as a colleague, boss or customer (32%), or a friend or an acquaintance (31%). Out of all women who described the most serious incident of sexual harassment that has happened to them, 35% kept the incident to themselves and did not speak about it to anyone, 28% talked to a friend, 24% spoke to a family member or a relative and 14% informed their partner. Only 4% of women reported to the police, 4 % talked to an employer or boss at their workplace and less than 1 % consulted a lawyer, a victim support organisation or a trade union representative.

In a research of <u>Huffington Post (2015)</u> with 2.200 anonymous women were collected the following findings:

- About 1 in 3 working women younger than 35 years old has been sexually harassed at work at least one time – Although the majority of these women reported that their harassers were male (coworkers, supervisors or even clients), about 1 in 10 respondents had been sexually harassed by a female at work.
- Nearly 3 in 4 workplace sexual harassment claims go unreported Tragically, more than 70% of women who are the victims of workplace sexual harassment never report the incident or try to hold their harassers accountable. In some cases, this may be due to the fact that some victims may not even realize that the incident was workplace sexual harassment (as about 16% of respondents didn't have a clear understanding of what constitutes sexual harassment at work).
- Although most commonly verbal, workplace sexual harassment can (and often does) arise in other forms. In fact, more than 80% of respondents had experienced workplace sexual





harassment via something a colleague or someone else said to them. About 44% had experienced unwanted physical contact or sexual advances when they were harassed at work. And, about 25% had been sent at least one sexually obscene email or text message from a coworker or supervisor.

Between 23/11/2020- 15/12/2020, the UK women's organization Rights of women conducted research on sexual harassment with women working- remotely and in person- during the COVID pandemic and concluded the following:

- 45% of women experiencing sexual harassment, reported experiencing the harassment remotely. Remote sexual harassment refers to the following: sexual messages (e.g. email, texts, social media); cyber harassment (e.g. via Zoom, Teams, Slack etc.); and sexual calls.
- 42% of women experiencing sexual harassment at work have experienced some to all of the harassment online.
- 23% of women who have experienced sexual harassment reported an increase or escalation whilst working from home, since the start of lockdown (23rd March 2020),
- 15% of women who have experienced sexual harassment reported that some or all of the harassment has moved online whilst working from home, since the start of lockdown (23rd March 2020).
- 72% of women experiencing sexual harassment at work do not feel their employer is doing enough to protect and/or support them from the harassment and abuse.
- 29% of women who have reported sexual harassment to their employer reported that the response has been negatively impacted by the Covid-19 pandemic.

On an international level relevant studies have also been conducted by the Interparliamentary Union (IPU). The 2016 IPU study was based on quantitative and qualitative data provided by 55 women parliamentarians from 39 countries spread over five regions of the world: 18 in Africa, 15 in Europe, 10 in Asia-Pacific, 8 in the Americas and 4 in Arab countries. Respondents were interviewed about their personal story, their perceptions and experiences of harassment, intimidation or violence to which they may have been exposed, the reasons for such acts or behaviour and the consequences that may have resulted from and solutions to prevent and address such acts. According to the study sexism, harassment and violence against women parliamentarians are very real and widespread, pointing to a phenomenon that knows no boundaries and exists to different degrees in every country, affecting a significant number of women parliamentarians. The study's findings also reveal troubling levels of prevalence – particularly for psychological violence, the most widely spread form, affecting 81.8% of the respondents from all countries and regions. More specifically 65,5% of women parliamentarians are subjected to humiliating sexual or sexist remarks, 27,5% to images of themselves or highly disrespectful comments with sexual connotations in the traditional media, 41,8% to extremely humiliating sexually charged images of themselves spread through social media, 44,4% to threats of death, rape, beatings of abduction, 32,7% to harassment (exposure to insistent and uninvited behaviour including unwanted attention or unwelcome verbal contact or interaction that may have frightened the person) (IPU, 2016: 3).

A second study was conducted in 2018 in cooperation of IPU with the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe (PACE), and was based on interviews with 123 women from 45 European countries. 81 of these women were members of parliament (MP) and 42 were members of the parliamentary staff. The study shows that acts of sexism, abuse and violence against women are indeed to be found in parliaments in Europe: 85,2% of female MPs said that they had suffered psychological violence in the course of their term of office, 46,9% had received death threats or threats of rape or beating, 58,2% had been the target of online sexist attacks on social networks, 67,9% had been the target of comments relating to their physical appearance or based on gender stereotypes, 24,7% had suffered sexual violence and 14.8% had suffered physical violence (IPU,PACE, 2018: 1). More specifically according to the results of the research (which in all cases are much higher for MPs under the age of 40) 67,9% have suffered sexual or sexist remarks, 58,2% have seen/been sent pictures or





comments which were extremely humiliating or which had a sexual connotation posted on social networks, 46,9% have accepted death threats, threats of rape, beatings or abduction, 39,5% have been sent pictures or comments that were highly disparaging or had a sexual connotation published in the press or broadcast on television and 27,2% have experienced psychological harassment, bullying or stalking (IPU, PACE, 2018: 6).

With the exception of the surveys conducted by FRA on a European level the data for sexual harassment experienced by LGBT persons are scarce. According to the FRA report on the EU LGBT survey (2014), respondents who say they experienced harassment in the 12 months preceding the survey, three quarters (75%) think that the last such incident happened partly or entirely because they were perceived to be LGBT. Combined with the data on prevalence of harassment, this means that in the 12 months preceding the survey a fifth (19%) of all respondents experienced harassment which they think happened partly or entirely because they were perceived to be LGBT. Lesbian women (23%) and transgender respondents (22%) are most likely to have experienced hate-motivated harassment in the year preceding the survey. Moreover, men respondents are more likely than women respondents to think that the last incident of harassment happened partly or entirely because they were perceived to be LGBT: gay men (83%) are more likely to attribute a hate motive than lesbian women (77%), and bisexual men (69 %) are more likely than bisexual women (52 %) to feel that their sexual orientation played a role (FRA, 2014b: 70).

Also, according to the latest <u>FRA research for LGBTI equality (2020)</u>, a majority of LGBTI respondents (58%) say they experienced, during the five years before the survey, harassment in the form of offensive or threatening situations – including incidents of a sexual nature – at work, on the street, on public transport, in a shop, on the internet, or anywhere else.² Overall, in the twelve months before the survey, two in five respondents (38%) in the EU experienced one or more of these acts because they are LGBTI. The harassment rates are quite similar across the EU. Trans and intersex respondents report the highest rates of LGBTI-related harassment. The results are particularly worrying regarding the younger age groups (15 to 17 and 18 to 24). On average, these groups report more often experiencing harassment for being LGBTI in the year before the survey across all harassment categories. Moreover, 51% of respondents aged 15 to 17 said that someone from school, college or university perpetrated the most recent incident of harassment they experienced due to being LGBTI. Such incidents could take place on school premises or on the way to school – however, 42% specified that the incident took place at school (FRA, 2020: 42).

In 2019, the UK <u>Trades Union Congress</u> conducted a research on the sexual harassment of LGBT people in the workplace and produced the following findings:

- 68% LGBT people surveyed reported being sexually harassed at work, yet two thirds didn't report it to their employer.
- More than 4 in 10 (42%) LGBT people who responded to the survey said colleagues made unwelcome comments or asked unwelcome questions about their sex life.
- 1 in 4 of those who didn't report were prevented from raising the issue with their employer by their fear of being 'outed' at work.
- The research found unacceptably high levels of sexual harassment across all different types of harassing behaviours for both LGBT men and women.

² Although the questionnaire did not use the term 'harassment' to avoid varying interpretations of what this means, the survey asked respondents if they had experienced specific acts of harassment, specifically asking if somebody had made offensive or threatening comments in person, such as insulting or calling them names; threatened them with violence in person; made offensive or threatening gestures or stared at them inappropriately; loitered, waited for them or deliberately followed them in a threatening way; sent them offensive or threatening e-mails or text messages (SMS); or posted offensive or threatening comments about them online – for example, on Facebook or Twitter.





- LGBT women responding to the survey experienced higher levels of sexual harassment and sexual assault in many areas. There were also some areas where men and women reported similar levels of sexual harassment.
- The difference in experience was particularly apparent in reported instances of unwanted touching, sexual assault and rape at work.

More specifically, regarding LGBT women the research concluded that

- more than twice as likely to report unwanted touching (35% of women compared to 16% of men).
- almost twice as likely to report experiencing sexual assault (21% of women compared to 12% of men).
- almost twice as likely to experience serious sexual assault or rape (12% compared to 7% of men).
- Trans women were even more likely than other women to experience sexual assault and rape at work, with around one third of trans women (32%) who responded to the survey reporting being sexually assaulted and over one in five (22%) experiencing serious sexual assault or rape.

In the national context, the first nation-wide research on sexual harassment in the workplace in Greece, was conducted by the <u>Research Centre for Gender Equality</u> (KETHI, Artinopoulou, Papatheodorou, Papagiannopoulou, et al) in 2004. Sixteen years later, another nation-wide research was conducted by <u>ActionAid</u> in 2020 (Papagianopoulou, Kasdagli, Mourtzaki, 2020) with 1.001 women across the country and 376 employees in the catering /food service and tourism sector, which concluded that:

- 85% of women in Greece have experienced sexual harassment in the workplace.
- 1 in 10 women has been a victim of an attempted sexual assault while 1 in 5 has been a victim of sexual extorsion.
- Half of the women mentioned that it was another colleague while 1 in 4 mentioned that the perpetrator was a customer. In 1 in 5 cases the senior manager of the company is involved while more than 1/3 of the cases concerns an employee in the higher ranks.
- 56% of the violating behaviors took place in the office, 29% of women reported that it took place in common areas (bathrooms, kitchens) while 15% of women reported that it took place in a social event related to work (party, social gathering).
- Only 6% made an official report of the sexual harassment they experienced to a relevant actor (e.g. Labour Inspectorate) while only 37% reported it to the management of the company/organization. 94% of the women underlined the need to establish and implement relevant politics on behalf of the company management, 86% argued that women do not talk since they fear losing their jobs and 78% does not believe that sexual harassment is an unusual phenomenon in the workplace.
- Regarding employees in the food industry and tourism, 85% of women that currently work in these fields have experienced sexual harassment in the workplace while 22% has been a victim of sexual assault and rape.
- In 53% of the cases there was no repercussion for the perpetrator while no colleague involved in a sexual harassment case ever lost his job.

A research conducted by the <u>Social Action and Innovation Centre</u> (KMOP) in 2020 (Alexopoulou & Doufexi- Kaplani, 2020), which included interviews with 7 representatives of workers unions, employers' associations, NGOs and the Citizen's Ombudsman and an online research with 126 employees and 27 employers, produced the following findings:

- 52,38% of the employees has experienced sexual harassment at some point in their professional life and 40% did not know the relevant procedures for victim protection.
- The majority (91.27%) agreed that women are more exposed to sexual harassment.
- The majority of employees reported that the most common form of sexual harassment are sexual invitations or jokes that make them feel uncomfortable (70.63%); unwanted sexual





insinuations (65.08%); conversations regarding the sex life of another colleague (59.52%); sexual comments regarding a colleague's appearance (59.52%); and 1.59% admitted that sexual assaults and rapes occur often in their workplace.

- 42.86% of the employees reported having witnessed some form of sexual harassment against another colleague in their workplace.
- 42.06% of the employees underlined that the company in which they work does not provide any document with clauses against sexual harassment.
- 29.63% of employers noted that there are no specific procedures for sexual harassment reporting in their company while 11.11% is not aware if they have any. In addition, more than half (55.56%) of employers mentioned that there is no mechanism for the documentation and observation of cases of sexual harassment.
- Regarding prevention, almost half of the employers (48.15%) underlined that there no preventive measures in their company.
- Finally, although the legal framework is regarded sufficient, it is not implemented in a supportive way for the victim. As a consequence, victims rarely choose to follow the relevant procedures due to costs, delays, insufficient compensations and fear of retaliations.

Finally, according to the two national annual reports on violence against women (General Secretariat for Demography and Family Policy and Gender Equality/GSDFPGE, 2020 and 2021) providing statistical data derived from the Counseling Centres and Accommodation Shelters, a very small percentage of the women supported have experienced sexual harassment. More specifically, of the 4.872 women GBV survivors and multiple discrimination victims supported by 42 Counseling Centres in the country from November 2019 to October 2020, only 2% (i.e. 64 women) has experienced sexual harassment (GSDFPGE, 2020:25), whereas of the 4.275 women GBV survivors supported from November 2020 to September 2021, only 3% (i.e. 103 women) has experienced sexual harassment (GSDFPGE, 2021:87-88).

In general, although sexual harassment is experienced by the majority of women working in the private and public sector it is highly underreported. This is also evident by the Greek Ombudsman's special reports on equal treatment and/or sexual harassment. According to the 2020 Equal Treatment Special Report, allegations of harassment and sexual harassment highlighted the little progress that has been made in shaping and consolidating a culture of intolerance of both employee and employer insults, within the framework of the employer's welfare obligation. Directly related to this deficit are the difficulties that are still found both during the submission of the complaint (fear of retaliation, hostility, job risk) and during the complaint's investigation (difficulties in the evidentiary process, fear of colleagues to testify, etc.). Also, according to the Report on the Ombudsman's Experience on Sexual Harassment 2006-2010, the total number of cases reported handled in three years (2008-2010) were 17 and were all made by women. Of these 35% (i.e. 6 cases) were from the public sector and 65% (i.e. 11 cases) from the private sector. However, reports for sexual harassment amounted only to 8% of the total number of reports submitted for gender-based discrimination to the Ombudsman.

1.3. International, European and National legal framework

1.3.1. The International and European legal context

At the international level, sexual harassment was defined in <u>CEDAW General Recommendation No. 19 (1992)</u> on violence against women as including "such unwelcome sexually determined behaviour as physical contact and advances, sexually coloured remarks, showing pornography and sexual demands, whether by words or actions." The conduct "can be humiliating and may constitute a health and safety problem; it is discriminatory when the woman has reasonable ground to believe that her objection would disadvantage her in connection with her employment, including recruitment or promotion, or when it creates a hostile working environment."





Again, in the field of work, Article 1 of the recent ILO Violence and Harassment Convention of 2019 (No. 190 on violence and harassment and complementary recommendation No. 260), reads as follows: "the term "violence and harassment" in the world of work refers to a range of unacceptable behaviours and practices, or threats thereof, whether a single occurrence or repeated, that aim at, result in, or are likely to result in physical, psychological, sexual or economic harm, and includes gender-based violence and harassment; the term "gender-based violence and harassment" means violence and harassment directed at persons because of their sex or gender, or affecting persons of a particular sex or gender disproportionately, and includes sexual harassment."

The ILO Convention considers sexual harassment to be present also after a single occurrence, irrespective of the contractual status of the victim/survivor. It also acknowledges that harassment can occur in public and private sectors, in the formal and informal economy, and in urban as well as in rural areas. It encompasses behaviour in public and private spaces where they are a place of work; in places where the worker gets paid, takes a rest break or a meal, or uses sanitary, washing and changing facilities; during work-related trips, travel, training, events or social activities; through work-related communications, including those enabled by information and communication technologies; in employer-provided accommodation; and when commuting to and from work (European Commission, 2021: 87).

Recommendation No. 206, accompanying the ILO Convention, stresses the "inclusive, integrated and gender-responsive approach" enshrined in Article 4(2) of the Convention and that, based on this provision, "members should address violence and harassment in the world of work in labour and employment, occupational safety and health, equality and non-discrimination law, and in criminal law, where appropriate." The Recommendation is divided into: core principles; protection and prevention; enforcement, remedies and assistance; guidance, training and awareness-raising. This structure emphasises the holistic approach of the Convention and the importance of the participation of workers and their representatives in the design, implementation and monitoring of workplace policy. Remedies are also fundamental and include compensation, reinstatement, the right to resign with compensation, orders requiring the immediate cessation of a certain conduct, legal fees and costs European Commission, 2021: 87).

More specifically, Convention No.190 states that:

- each member shall adopt laws and regulations to define and prohibit violence and harassment in the world of work, including gender-based violence and harassment (article 7), therefore clearly situating harassment in the workplace.
- each member shall respect, promote and realize the fundamental principles and rights at work, including the right to non-discrimination (articles 5 & 6)
- each member shall adopt laws and regulations requiring employers to take appropriate steps commensurate with their degree of control to prevent violence and harassment in the world of work, such as the identification of hazards and the assessment of risks for violence and harassment and the associated prevention and protection measures, including on the rights and responsibilities of workers and other persons (article 9)
- each member shall ensure easy access to appropriate and effective remedies and safe, fair
 and effective reporting and dispute resolution mechanisms and procedures in cases of
 violence and harassment in the world of work (article 10). The Convention further specifies
 the need for member states to provide that victims of gender-based violence and harassment
 in the world of work have effective access to gender-responsive, safe and effective complaint
 and dispute resolution mechanisms, support, services and remedies (article 10).

It should be noted that **the Convention clearly approaches sexual harassment as part and parcel of gender-based violence.** More specifically, article 1 (b) notes that the term "gender-based violence and harassment" means violence and harassment directed at persons because of their sex or gender, or affecting persons of a particular sex or gender disproportionately, and includes sexual harassment.





Based on <u>ILO Convention 111</u> on discrimination in employment and occupation as well as the <u>2003 Report of the Committee of Experts on the Application of Conventions and Recommendations</u>, the definition of sexual harassment includes (p.463):

- quid pro quo: any physical, verbal or non-verbal conduct of a sexual nature and other conduct based on sex affecting the dignity of women and men, which is unwelcome, unreasonable, and offensive to the recipient; and a personis rejection of, or submission to, such conduct is used explicitly or implicitly as a basis for a decision which affects that personis job;
- 2. **hostile work environment:** conduct that creates an intimidating, hostile or humiliating working environment for the recipient.

Moving to the Council of Europe, in the Istanbul Convention (2011), sexual harassment is considered as a form of violence that might occur anywhere and consists of "any form of unwanted verbal, non-verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature with the purpose or effect of violating the dignity of a person, in particular when creating an intimidating, hostile, degrading, humiliating or offensive environment" (article 40). The Explanatory Report points out that "verbal conduct refers to words or sounds expressed or communicated by the perpetrator, such as jokes, questions, remarks, and may be expressed orally or in writing. Non-verbal conduct, on the other hand, covers any expressions or communication on the part of the perpetrator that do not involve words or sounds, for example facial expressions, hand movements or symbols. Physical conduct refers to any sexual behaviour of the perpetrator and may include situations involving contact with the body of the victim." The behavior must be of a sexual nature, unwanted on the part of the victim, and must have "the purpose or the effect of violating the dignity of the victim." It means that sexual harassment manifests when the conduct creates an intimidating, hostile, degrading, humiliating or offensive environment. The scope of the provision is not limited to work, however. The Convention adopts a holistic approach that encompasses measures of prevention, protection, victim support and policy coordination that are very relevant for sexual harassment. Criminal or other legal sanctions can be envisaged as well.

In **EU law**, harassment related to sex and sexual harassment have been addressed as a form of discrimination in matters of employment and occupation – access to employment, including promotion, and vocational training, working conditions, including pay, occupational social security schemes, and self-employment- and in the provision of and access to goods and services. EU directives referring to sexual harassment:

- <u>Directive 2000/43/EU</u> on equal treatment irrespective of racial or ethnic origin which **includes** the definition of harassment "when an unwanted conduct related to racial or ethnic origin
 takes place with the purpose or effect of violating the dignity of a person and of creating an
 intimidating, hostile, degrading, humiliating or offensive environment"
- <u>Directive 2000/78/ EU</u> establishing a general framework for equal treatment in employment and occupation, including the definition of harassment and particularly in working environments.
- <u>Directive 2002/73/EC</u> of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 September 2002 amending Council Directive 76/207/EEC on the implementation of the principle of equal treatment for men and women as regards access to employment, vocational training and promotion, and working conditions. It is underlined that harassment related to the sex of a person and sexual harassment are contrary to the principle of equal treatment between women and men. To this end it is emphasised that these forms of discrimination occur not only in the workplace, but also in the context of access to employment and vocational





training, during employment and occupation. The directive further provides definitions for both harassment³ and sexual harassment.⁴

- <u>Directive 2004/113/EC</u> of 13 December 2004 on implementing the principle of equal treatment between men and women in the access to and supply of goods and services. In the directive, it is noted that discrimination based on sex, including **harassment and sexual harassment**, **also takes place in areas outside of the labour market**. Such discrimination can be equally damaging, acting as a barrier to the full and successful integration of men and women into economic and social life.
- <u>Directive 2006/54/EC</u> of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 July 2006 on the implementation of the principle of equal opportunities and equal treatment of men and women in matters of employment and occupation (recast). According to article 26 on prevention of discrimination: "Member States shall encourage, in accordance with national law, collective agreements or practice, employers and those responsible for access to vocational training to take effective measures to prevent all forms of discrimination on grounds of sex, in particular harassment and sexual harassment in the workplace, in access to employment, vocational training and promotion."

However, as stated in the 2021 report of the European Network of Legal Experts in Gender Equality and Non-discrimination on the "Criminalisation of gender-based violence against women in European States, including ICT-facilitated violence", the approach on the gender equality EU directives is more limiting that the CoE Istanbul Convention and the ILO Convention. The directives call for the prohibition of harassment as a form of discrimination and the imposition of sanctions, yet they do not establish obligations that are sufficiently precise, allowing for adequate monitoring and enforcement in the Member State or at the EU level (ENLE, 2021: 88).

1.3.2. The Greek legal context

In 2011, the European Network of Legal Experts (ENLE) in the field of Gender Equality completed a report on "Harassment related to sex and sexual harassment law in 33 European countries", in which Sophia Koukoulis- Spiliotopoulos provides a detailed analysis of the Greek legal framework on sexual harassment. The following information draw from her work (2013: 116-127).

Sexual harassment consists violation of law <u>3896/2010</u> transposing Directive 2006/54/EC on the implementation of the principle of equal opportunities and equal treatment of men and women in matters of employment and occupation (recast), mostly repeats provisions of law 3488/2006 transposing Directive 2002/73/EC on harassment. Article 3(2)(a) of Act 3896/2010 reads: "Harassment and sexual harassment and any less favorable treatment due to submission or rejection of this conduct constitute gender discrimination and are prohibited." Article 3(2)(b) also stipulates that "any less favourable treatment of a person related to the change of sex also constitutes discrimination on the ground of sex." Consequently, unwanted conduct towards a transsexual, when it is related to transsexuality or to the previous or new sex of this person (e.g. teasing, offensive jokes), constitutes harassment on the ground of sex. When it is of a sexual nature (e.g. sexual advances on account of the person's previous or new sex), then it constitutes sexual harassment. Harassment may also constitute multiple discrimination, a violation of the principle of non-discrimination prohibited by Greek legislation, and also applying to (widespread) harassment against foreign female workers. In this context, the harassment of a woman who is pregnant or has recently given birth (which is frequent

⁴ "Sexual harassment": where any form of unwanted verbal, non-verbal, or physical, conduct of a sexual nature occurs, with the purpose or effect of violating the dignity of a person, in particular when creating an intimidating, hostile, degrading, humiliating or offensive environment. The same definition is included in directive 2004/113 and directive 2006/54.





³ "Harassment": where an unwanted conduct related to the sex of a person occurs with the purpose or effect of violating the dignity of a person, and of creating an intimidating, hostile, degrading, humiliating or offensive environment.

and aims at forcing her to quit, so that the employer is dispensed of his/her obligations towards her), should be considered harassment on the ground of sex, irrespective of whether it leads to resignation or dismissal or non-promotion or to any other unfavourable modification of her working conditions. This may be termed "harassment on grounds of pregnancy and maternity."

Workers or candidates for employment in the private or public sector, in any employment relationship, may lodge an action seeking compensation before civil courts. The burden of proof lays to the employer. He/ She should provide evidence that there has not been sexual harassment or any violation of the law on the equal treatment. The "burden of proof" applies against civil courts, the Civil Ombudsman or the Labour Inspectorate, in the public and private sector or freelance professions. Furthermore, workers or candidates for employment on a private-law contract may seek before civil courts: i) a declaration of the nullity of a dismissal, non-promotion or non-hiring and compensation; ii) compensation for being forced to quit due to the conduct of the employer or his agents. Those in a public-law relationship: civil servants of the State and other public authorities (local authorities and other legal persons governed by public law) may lodge a recourse for the annulment of a dismissal, non-promotion or non-hiring, and an action for compensation, before administrative courts.

According to ENLE's report on Greece, the general rule laying the "burden of proof" on the claimant deters people from filing a complaint, combined with other factors such as fear of victimization or a 'bad name' in the labour market. These fears, which potential witnesses share, are increasing with the deregulation of employment relationships and unemployment. They could be alleviated if organisations took cases to courts and other authorities, which they hardly do, due to lack of awareness of this possibility and/or lack of resources and legal aid. without modifying their approach to the burden of proof, courts tend to rely on evidence given by persons in whom the claimant confided and in a few cases on circumstantial evidence.

General penal offences: 'Harassment' is not a specific offence under the Penal Code (PC); other relevant PC provisions only concern serious cases. The gravest offence is 'rape', a felony consisting in forcing a person 'by physical violence or threat of serious and immediate danger into intercourse or other lewd act or tolerance thereof' (Article 336(1) PC). A 'lewd act' is an act not reaching intercourse, which offends common decency and morals and aims at satisfying or exciting sexual desire.178 Prosecution is ex officio, but at the victim's request it may not start or be dropped. Harassment may constitute a misdemeanor, e.g. 'bodily harm' (bodily injury or harm to the health: Articles 308-315 PC); an 'offence to a person's honour', by verbal or physical conduct or any other way (Article 361 PC) ('honour' is a person's moral or social value, which is narrower than dignity) or an 'offence to sexual dignity' (Article 337(1) PC) consisting in 'lewd gestures or proposals concerning lewd acts' 'offending crudely a person's dignity in the area of his/her sexual life'; 'lewd gestures' imply bodily contact (caresses etc.); 'lewd proposals' may be oral or in writing or by gestures without bodily contact.180 All these offences presuppose intent and are prosecuted upon complaint.

Finally, it should be mentioned that in 2021 Greece ratified the Convention 190 of the International Labor Organization (ILO) to eliminate violence and harassment in the workplace (Law 4808/2021, Official Government Gazette Issue A' 101/19.06.2021). The Law provides for the mandatory appointment of a designated "reference person/focal point" responsible for providing relevant advice and guidance to employees. In addition, employers are obliged to provide workers with adequate information on the dangers of and the means of protection against violence or harassment in the workplace and to render information on the procedures available and the competent authorities for lodging and handling complaints of violence or harassment easily accessible to employees. In case of violation of the above prohibition of violence and harassment at work, Article 12 of the Law establishes the right of each of the affected persons, even if the relationship in the context of which the alleged incident or violent behaviour took place, has ended, to file a complaint with the Labour Inspectorate and the Ombudsman. Moreover, Article 16 establishes an Independent Department within the Labour Inspectorate, responsible for monitoring violence and harassment incidents at the workplace and for drafting and submitting reports with quantitative and qualitative





data on complaints, for managing these complaints and for cooperating with the Greek Ombudsman within the scope of its responsibility and with the GSDFPGE, in order to develop guidelines and protocols for disputes related to violence and harassment.

Law 4808/2021 introduces significant reforms in the labour relations for the protection of the victim and the prevention of violence and harassment at the workplace. New rights, e.g. the unilateral request to leave the workplace without deduction of pay (under certain conditions) are established for the employee who encounters such behaviour. In addition, Decision No. 82063/22-10-2021 of the Minister of Labour requires enterprises and employers at the private sector employing more than 20 persons to formulate the policies under articles 9 and 10 of the Law, to prohibit, prevent and address all forms of violence and harassment, including gender-based violence and harassment and sexual harassment occurring in the workplace. Companies must draft a policy to prevent and respond to such incidents as well as adopt a policy for managing internal complaints of harassment and violence, that should describe the process of receiving and investigating such complaints in a way that ensures the protection of the victim and respect for human dignity.

PART II - CYBERVIOLENCE

2.1. In search of a comprehensive definition

Over the past decade, there has been growing attention to different forms of interpersonal violence perpetrated through the use of digital communication technologies. A range of umbrella terms using prefixes such as "technology", "digital", "cyber", "Internet", "electronic" or "online" have been used to describe different types of harassment, violence, aggression and abuse against women and girls involving technological devices or platforms. However, there is little consensus among researchers and policy makers as to the most appropriate term to describe the ever-changing pattern of cyberviolence (Henry & Flynn & Powel, 2020: 1830). The terms more commonly used so far in international and European reports are online violence against women, online and ICT- facilitated forms of gender-based violence against women, gender-based cyberviolence and cyber gender-based violence against women. These seem to be used interchangeably (ENLE and European Commission, 2021: 53).

In a 2018 report, the UN Special Rapporteur on violence against women (UN SRVAW) provided a broad definition of online/ ICT-facilitated forms of violence: "The definition of online violence against women [...] extends to any act of gender-based violence against women that is committed, assisted or aggravated in part or fully by the use of ICT, such as mobile phones and smartphones, the Internet, social media platforms or email, against a woman because she is a woman, or affects women disproportionately (UN, General Assembly, 2018: 7, par. 23). In one paragraph, the Special Rapporteur refers to "online and ICT-facilitated forms of gender-based violence against women" as the most inclusive concept.

The <u>UN Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) General Recommendation 35</u>, extends the definition of gender-based violence under General Recommendation 19 by adding "gender-based violence against women manifests in a continuum of multiple interrelated and recurring forms, in a range of settings, from private to public, including technology-mediated settings." And "gender-based violence against women occurs in all spaces and spheres of human interaction, whether public or private...and their redefinition through technology-mediated environments, such as contemporary forms of violence occurring in the Internet and digital spaces." In addition, the UN General Assembly, 2013 consensus Resolution on protecting women human rights defenders (A/RES/68/181), contains language on technology-related human rights violations: "information-technology-related violations, abuses and violence against women, including women human rights defenders, such as online harassment, cyberstalking, violation of privacy, censorship and hacking of e- mail accounts, mobile phones and other electronic devices, with a view to discrediting them and/or inciting other violations and abuses against them, are a





growing concern and a manifestation of systemic gender-based discrimination, requiring effective responses compliant with human rights."

At European level there is no commonly agreed set of definitions encompassing all forms of cyberviolence against women and girls. CoE conventions on violence against women and on cybercrime implicitly include references to cyberviolence against women. However, the European Commission and the EU bodies as well as the Council of Europe apply different definitions in their instruments and programs. Many of the forms of cyberviolence and hate speech online against women remain under-defined (FEMM Committee, 2018: 12-13). This is also evident in the proposal for a directive on combating violence against women and domestic violence (European Commission, (2022), and more specifically in Article 8 (cyberstalking), 9 (cyber harassment) and 10 (cyber incitement to violence or hatred) where the basic idea is intentional conduct on the part of the perpetrator.

The Council of Europe **Istanbul Convention** contains several articles that can be applied to cyberviolence and hate speech online against women (article 3b on intimate partner violence, article 33 on psychological violence, article 40 on stalking). The Council of Europe's defines sexist hate speech as <u>"expressions which spread, incite, promote or justify hatred based on sex"</u>, including posting and sharing content, inciting to violence or hatred against women or LGBTIQ people on the grounds of their gender identity, gender expression or sex characteristics (see CoE, 2016).

FRA has produced a number of definitions of cyberviolence against women for its 2014 survey regarding violence against women in the EU (e.g. cyberstalking, cyber harassment) (FRA 2014a).

EIGE defines cyberviolence as gender-based violence which is perpetrated through electronic communication and the internet:

- Non-consensual pornography (also called 'revenge porn') "involves the online distribution of sexually graphic photographs or videos without the consent of the individual in the images. Images can also be obtained by hacking into the victim's computer, social media accounts or phone, and can aim to inflict real damage on the target's 'real-world' life". Non-consensual pornography can be the extension of intimate partner violence to online spaces.
- Cyber harassment is "harassment by means of email, text (or online) messages or the internet. It can encompass: unwanted sexually explicit emails, text (or online) messages; inappropriate or offensive advances on social networking websites or internet chat rooms; threats of physical and/or sexual violence by email, text (or online) messages; hate speech, meaning language that denigrates, insults, threatens or targets an individual based on her identity (gender) and other traits (such as sexual orientation or disability)." Thus, cyber harassment refers to women's experiences of sexual harassment that involve 1) unwanted offensive sexually explicit emails or SMS messages; 2) inappropriate offensive advances on social networking websites such as Facebook, or in internet chat rooms.
- <u>Cyber stalking</u> is defined as: 1) emails, text messages (SMS) or instant messages that were offensive or threatening; 2) offensive comments posted on the internet, 3) intimate photos or videos shared on the internet or by mobile phone (see also, EIGE, 2017).

In academic research, there is a plethora of terms matched by a variety of definitions of what constitutes online abuse or abuse which involves digital technologies, such as "technology-facilitated sexual violence" (Powell & Henry, 2017), "image-based sexual abuse" (DeKeseredy & Schwartz, 2016; Henry & Flynn, 2019), "technology-facilitated domestic and family violence" (Douglas et al., 2019), "digital coercive control" (Harris & Woodlock, 2018), "cyber-victimization" (Reyns, Burek, Henson, and Fisher, 2011); "technology-based coercive behavior." Fiona Vera- Gray (2017) has argued that prefixes emphasizing the technological aspect tend to shift the focus from gender inequality and the structural causes of violence against women and non-conforming genders. Douglas et al. (2019) also argue that technology-facilitated forms of domestic and family violence "should be understood as a form of coercive control that is inextricably tied to, rather than separate from, domestic and family violence and the broader cultural values and practices that engender it (2019:3)." In their work on "technology-





facilitated" violence, Powell, Henry & Flynn (2018) note that this term has the benefit of simultaneously capturing the faciliatory role that technology plays in the perpetration of violence without exceptionalizing this role or downplaying the drivers of the abuse, including gender inequality but also other forms of racial and social marginalization (Powell, Henry & Flynn, 2018: 1832).

Such challenges relating to terminology are familiar to the broader discussion of violence against women. In her article "What's in a name?" Karen Boyle (2019) considers the controversies of naming "domestic abuse", "violence against women," "men's violence against women," and "genderbased violence." She questions whether "abuse" better encapsulates the "range of physically, emotionally, financially, and sexually controlling behaviours women experience" (Boyle, 2019:22). Boyle underlines the difficulty to find the right language to convey different women's experiences of violence while also addressing the structural causes of gender violence which affects trans women, non-binary people and men. Boyle's (2019) suggestion, adapting and extending Liz Kelly's (1988, 1987) continuum of sexual violence, is that the field of violence against women, or gendered violence more broadly, would benefit from additional "continuum thinking." The approach of continuum thinking is also incorporated in EU reports where various forms of cyberviolence are seen as part and parcel of a continuum of violence, often starting offline and reverberating online and vice versa (FEMM Committee, 2018).

Among the types of behaviour amounting to ICT-facilitated violence, the UN Special Rapporteur on VAW, in the 2018 report mentioned above, notes the following emerging forms of violence committed online: **doxing,**⁵ **sextortion,**⁶ and **trolling.**⁷ In another study for the European Parliament (ENLE & European Commission,2021), additional behaviours were included, such as image-based sexual abuse, non-consensual creation or distribution of private sexual images, cyberbullying, online sexual harassment, unsolicited receipt of sexually explicit material, mobbing, ⁸ upskirting, ⁹ etc.

Given the definitional variance regarding cyberviolence it remains a challenge to aggregate data at European level and to compare national. Data collection mechanisms which measure the prevalence of the phenomenon of cyberviolence are not yet coordinated and each Member State measures the prevalence of cyberviolence using different indicators and definitions (FEMM Committee, 2018: 37). The need for better collection of data related to violence against women has been recognised by the EU and the Council of Europe (EIGE, 2017b).

2.2. Prevalence of cyberviolence

According to the Cybersafe 2021 report, 23% of women in Europe have experienced abuse or harassment online (Cybersafe, 2021: 30-33). This could involve receiving offensive or threatening emails or text messages, or finding offensive or threatening comments about oneself disseminated online (ENLE and European Commission (2021: 7). The best information on cyberviolence comes from the European Agency for Fundamental Rights' (FRA) European Survey on Violence Against Women (VAW) (2014), which included questions on cyber stalking and cyber harassment. According to the FRA

¹⁰ Figures vary on this issue, depending on the sample group. The World Wide Web Foundation found in 2020 that 52% of young women were affected by cyber harassment, World Wide Web Foundation blog, "The online crisis facing women and girls threatens global progress on gender equality", 12 March 2020; according to FRA, *Crime, safety and victims' rights*, 2021, 13% of women in Europe experienced cyber harassment, with a higher prevalence of younger women.





⁵ Doxing is the act of revealing identifying information about someone online, such as their real name, home address, workplace, phone, financial, and other personal information, with the purpose of harassing, threatening or damaging the person.

⁶ Sextortion can take different forms, but it generally entails a threat to expose sexual images in order to make a person do something. These threats may come from strangers or (former) intimate romantic partners attempting to harass, embarrass and control victims.

⁷ Trolling is the act of leaving an insulting message on the internet with the intention to upset, refute, discredit or silence someone.

⁸ Mobbing, refers to the act of choosing and targeting someone to bully or harass through a hostile mob deployment, sometimes including hundreds or thousands of people.

⁹ Upskirting is the surreptitious and non-consensual taking of images or videos up a woman's skirt.

Survey on Violence Against Women (2014), 11% of women in the European Union have experienced cyber harassment since the age of 15. Between 18 and 29 years of age, 20% of women have experienced cyber harassment, versus 13% of 29 to 39 years of age and 11% between 40 and 49 years of age. Between 50 and 59 years old 6% of EU women have experienced cyber harassment and over 60 years old, they are 3% (FRA, 2014).

According to the FRA survey, 14% of women in the EU women have experienced stalking in the form of offensive or threatening communications since the age of 15 (stalking by means of email, text messages or the internet). Young women in particular 4% of all 18 to 29-year-old women in the EU have experienced cyberstalking in the 12 months preceding the interview, compared with 0.3% of women who are 60 years old or older (FRA, 2014). Furthermore, data from the 2014 FRA survey shows that 77% of women who have experienced cyber harassment¹¹ have also experienced at least one form of sexual or/ and physical violence from an intimate partner; and 7 in 10 women (70%) who have experienced cyber stalking,¹² have also experienced at least one form of physical or/and sexual violence from an intimate partner.¹³ Again drawing from the FRA survey on cyber harassment, in Denmark, Sweden, Slovakia and the Netherlands between 17 and 18% of women since the age of 15 have experienced cyber harassment whereas Belgium, Luxembourg, Finland and Slovakia are among the countries with the highest prevalence of cyber stalking.

According to (EPRS, 2021), it was estimated that in 2020, 1 in 2 young women experienced gender-based cyber violence, whereas according to the European Commission, (2022), women are systematically targeted online by violent right wing extremist groups and terrorist groups intending to spread hatred against them. The so-called 'incel' (involuntary celibate) movement, for instance, incites to violence against women online and promotes such violence as "heroic" acts. Cyber violence particularly impacts women active in public life, such as politicians, journalists and human rights defenders. This can have the effect of silencing women and hindering their societal participation.

Data and statistics on cyberviolence against women and LGBTQI people in the EU are therefore extremely scarce and diluted (e.g. FRA, 2020), which is why most resolutions and research reports (European Parliament, 2021; FRA 2020, 2014b; EIGE, 2017b) point out the need to collect gender- disaggregated data concerning prevalent forms of cyberviolence whilst fostering the uniformity and comparability of data gathered by member states.

2.3. International and European legal context

The 2018 FEM study for the European Parliament "Cyberviolence and hate speech online against women" provides an analytical legal framework on an EU and international framework of a number of soft law measures, relevant treaties, directives, resolutions and recommendation that apply to the various forms of cyberviolence. In Greece, there is no specific legislation targeting forms of cyberviolence against women, girls and LGBTQI people. However, the Istanbul Convention (law 4532/2018), the Lanzarote Convention (law 3327/2008) and the Budapest Convention (law 4411/2016), ratified by the Greek state, consist key steps towards the future development of a legal framework regarding cyberviolence. There are no official data regarding cyberviolence in Greece.

¹³ Statistical analysis made by EIGE. 1044 women have suffered one or more of the three forms of cyber stalking and out of those women, 727 have experienced at least one or more forms of physical or/and sexual violence from an intimate partner. As part cyber harassment, out of 677 women who stated having suffered at least one of the three forms identified as cyber harassment, 518 (77%) have also experienced at least one form of physical or/and sexual violence from an intimate partner.





¹¹ 11% of women have received unwanted, offensive sexually explicit emails or SMS messages, or inappropriate, offensive advances on social networking sites (FRA, 2014).

¹² 5% of women in the EU have experienced one or more forms of cyber stalking since the age of 15 (FRA, 2014: 87). Cyber stalking in this case included stalking by means of email, text messages or over the internet.

2.3.1. UN Resolutions, Strategies and Reports

- The <u>UN General Assembly resolution on protecting women human rights defenders (2013)</u> recalls that "information-technology-related violations, abuses, discrimination and violence against women, including women human rights defenders, such as online harassment, cyberstalking, violation of privacy, censorship and the hacking of e-mail accounts, mobile phones and other electronic devices, with a view to discrediting them and/or inciting other violations and abuses against them, are a growing concern and can be a manifestation of systemic gender-based discrimination, requiring effective responses compliant with human rights.
- The <u>UN Human Rights Council resolution on the promotion, protection and enjoyment of human rights on the internet (2016)</u>, affirmed that the rights people have offline must also be protected online.
- The <u>UN General Assembly's resolution on the right to privacy in the digital age</u> (2016) recalls that violations and abuses of the right to privacy in the digital age may affect all individuals, including with particular effects on women, as well as children and those who are vulnerable or marginalized.
- The Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW Committee) adopted in 2017 the new <u>General Recommendation 35</u> which reaffirms the UN's commitment to a world free from violence for all women and girls and recognises the new forms of violence against women and girls, redefined "through technology-mediated environments, such as contemporary forms of violence occurring in the Internet and digital spaces.
- In 2018, the <u>Special Rapporteur on Violence Against Women</u> will release a thematic report focusing on online gender-based violence.
- The <u>UN Human Rights Council on July 4th 2018 voted resolutions</u> on the "Promotion, protection and enjoyment of human rights on the Internet", several of them concern cyber violence.

2.3.2. Council of Europe Treaties

- The Budapest Convention on Cybercrime and additional protocol. The Convention on Cybercrime, adopted in 2001, is the first international treaty focused on internet related crimes. Three articles of the Budapest Convention can apply to cyber violence against women (article 4 on "Data interference in a critical system (which) may cause death or physical or psychological injury"; article 5 on "System interference in a critical system (which) may cause death or physical or psychological injury" and article 9 on "producing child pornography for electronic distribution and production of child pornography (which) may cause death and necessarily entails physical and/or psychological violence."
- The Istanbul Convention on combating violence against women and domestic violence. More specifically, the following articles can be applied to digital violence: Article 33 on psychological violence, Article 34 on stalking, and Article 40 on sexual harassment.
- The Lanzarote Convention on Protection of Children against sexual exploitation and sexual abuse requires criminalisation of all forms of abuse against children.

2.3.3. European Union Legal Framework

At the EU level, several regulations, directives, EP resolutions and EC policies are directly or indirectly applicable to various forms of cyber violence and hate speech online against women.





- <u>Directive on combating the sexual exploitation of children online and child pornography</u>: This
 directive addresses online violence against children, such as grooming. It requires Member
 States to take measures to remove web pages containing or disseminating child pornography
 and allows them to block access to such websites.
- <u>The Victims' Rights Directive</u> contains provisions that protect victims of crime in the EU and provides a minimum level of rights, protection, support, access to justice and restoration. The <u>EIGE report</u> analysing the Victims' Rights Directive from a gender perspective points at gaps in the provisions covering issues of support and protection for (victims of gender-based violence).
- Directive on preventing and combating trafficking in human beings and protecting its victims. A study commissioned by the European Commission on the gender aspect of trafficking shows that "there is some evidence of the increasing use of the internet by traffickers both as a method of recruitment and as a marketing tool for the sale and/or exploitation of women. Traffickers may access women through social media sites or place online advertisements for work, sometimes explicitly as recruitment into prostitution markets, but deceptive as to the conditions of work, or the ads may deceive as to the nature of the work. This use of technology is highly gendered."

2.3.4. European Parliament Resolutions

- In its resolution of 4 December 2021 on combatting gender-based violence: cyberviolence, the EP underlines the transnational nature of gender-based cyberviolence, stresses that gender-based cyberviolence has additional transnational implications considering that the use of ICT has a cross-border dimension and calls member states and EU and international bodies to cooperate and take concrete steps to coordinate their actions to address gender-based cyberviolence.
- On 26 April 2018, the FEMM committee of the European Parliament adopted a draft report proposing measures to combat mobbing and sexual harassment, including online. The report calls on the European Commission to define "public space" in a broader manner, so as to include virtual public spaces (i.e. social networks, websites) and it calls on Member States to act on internet service providers to combat online impunity and address abuse and mobbing.
- In its resolution of <u>17 April 2018 on empowering women and girls through the digital sector</u>, the EP recalls that digital modes of communication contribute to the increase in hate speech and threats against women and that the various forms of cyber violence against women are still not legally recognised.
- In the European Parliament resolution of <u>17 April 2018 on gender equality in the media sector</u> in the EU, it is recalled that women encounter increased levels of harassment on social media.
- In the European Parliament <u>resolution of 26 October 2017 on combating sexual harassment</u> and abuse in the EU, the EP recalls that key action is needed against emerging forms of violence, e.g. in cyberspace, and it highlights that cyber harassment of women especially on social media fuels other forms of violence against women and girls.
- In its <u>resolution of 3 October 2017 on the fight against cybercrime</u>, the European Parliament highlights the need for common harmonised legal definitions of cybercrime, including sexual abuse and exploitation of children online, cyber harassment and cyberattacks.
- In European Parliament resolution of 12 September 2017 on the proposal for a Council decision on the conclusion, by the European Union, of the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence, the EP stresses that measures should be taken to address the emerging phenomenon of gender-based violence online, including bullying, harassment and intimidation, particularly targeting young women and girls and LGBTI people.





- European Parliament resolution of 14 March 2017 on equality between women and men in the European Union in 2014-2015, recalls that digital communications increase the risk for women to experience hate speech and threats and that perpetrators are very rarely being reported, investigated, prosecuted and sentenced, although women are particularly vulnerable to sexual, physical and online violence, cyber bullying and stalking.
- The European Parliament resolution of <u>26 February 2014 on sexual exploitation and prostitution and its impact on gender</u> equality stresses that recruitment of victims of sexual trafficking increasingly happens on the internet.

PART III - SEXUAL HARASSMENT AND CYBERVIOLENCE IN THE MEDIA

3.1. Introductory remarks

Recognising that hate speech and hate-motivated violence not only pose grave danger for the cohesion of a democratic society and the protection of human rights and the rule of law but are also highly underreported, and therefore if left unaddressed can lead to acts of violence and conflict on a wider scale, the European Commission against Racism and Intolerance (ECRI) of the Council of Europe, has considered multiple effective approaches to tackle hate speech and cyberhate in particular (e.g. self-regulation by public and private institutions, media and the Internet industry, adoption of codes of conduct accompanied by sanctions for non-compliance, education and counter-speech to fight misconceptions and misinformation forming the basis of hate speech, raising public awareness, etc.). To this end, ECRI has always considered criminal sanctions/prohibition necessary when hate speech publicly incites violence against individuals or groups of people and a measure to be used as a last resort in order to keep a balance between fighting hate speech and safeguarding freedom.

Protests about "over-removal of hate speech content" may come from "a public that may think it important for governmental agencies and Internet platforms to take seriously not only the needs and experiences of persons that have been targeted or adversely affected by illegal hate speech posted or shared online, but also the issue of content that has been removed even though it is not unlawful and even though it might have free speech value" (Brown, 2020: 153). Removal of content as a solution, is not a policy that expands to all media though. Some forms of media content, such as live content, or broadcast content, needs to be regulated by informed journalists. Therefore, careful consideration in identifying gender-based violence can be the answer to the problem.

Also, the Council of Europe Convention on VAW/ domestic violence (2011), in Article 17 contains two obligations regarding the participation of the private sector and the media in the implementation of policies/efforts to prevent VAW. According to the Convention states, respecting freedom of expression and media's (editorial) independence, should encourage them to set guidelines and self-regulatory standards to enhance respect for the dignity of women, refrain from harmful gender stereotyping and spreading degrading images of women or imagery associating violence and sex. Moreover, the ICT sector and the media should be encouraged to establish ethical codes of conduct for a rights-based, gender sensitive and non-sensationalist media coverage of violence against women, always with due respect for the fundamental principles relating to the freedom of expression, the freedom of the press and the freedom of the arts.

As already aforementioned however, despite legal provisions, sexual harassment/ cyberviolence are underreported. Due to underreporting and the fact that victims rarely report incidents to the authorities fearing perpetrators will retaliate or do not trust the justice system, or because they are discouraged by the social environment there is limited data on this widespread type of violence. Such practices are supported by a media public space that is filled with what are called "masculinist politics". This term addresses the ubiquitous presence of the powerful male actor who will use war tactics to deal with everyday social and political problems (Vouyioukas, Liapi 2020: 6), in





the media as well, and generally in the public sphere. Masculinist politics shame those who have problems, especially problems which carry the "stigma" of sex, sexuality and abuse, while it establishes a 'social superiority of cruelty' (see also Kanaouti 2019: 156; Read-Hamilton, 2014: 6). On the other hand, media-promoted stereotypes reproducing patriarchal behaviours and viewpoints about the 'feminine' psyche, do not only regard external characteristics, but form and re-form identities for social actors and social presences. When volatile weather is described by the media as resembling "moody females" (Kanaouti 2020: 27), it may not be a surprise that reports for femicides in the media reproduce the heteronormative "romantic" paradigm centered on jealously, as a sign passion and love. For example, in France media report femicide cases as 'family or separation dramas' (Anteby-Jemini, Raffin, 2018: 109), and in Greece they report them as 'crimes of passion', 'love crimes', (Peglidou, 2018: 116).

3.2. Media reporting of gender-based violence

Impe (UNESCO, 2019) addresses a multiplicity of important issues in media reporting of gender-based violence, highlighting major problematic areas:

- There is silence about key issues of gender-based violence, the actual context is unexplained, and what is underlined are clichés like 'honor', and 'honor crimes", or the sexuality and conduct of the victim. Also, there is prioritization, and reports for gender-based violence usually do not appearing in prime time, thus hiding or silencing the phenomenon. Moreover, the time of reporting is limited, and therefore reporters themselves often cannot/do not understand the bigger context, or have the opportunity talk to survivors.
- The vocabulary and in general the language used in media depictions of gender-based violence is also highly problematic (e.g. stereotypical, heteronormative and controlled based notions of 'love', mis-reasoning and characterisations of victims/survivors as 'unlucky'). Verbal abuse can be present in reporting that 'lectures' or incites judgment and verbal violence/ harassment is also inscribes gender-based sexual characteristics, which in turn are used to characterize women, LGBTQ and young people only in reference to heterosexual men and/or macho identities.
- Headings, titles of articles and of news items in general may be sensationalized in order to attract the audience. This practice is also used by the extreme right to make their audience outraged about something that is not supported in their main articles / reporting. Stereotypes, voyeurism, further victimization of the victims has dire consequences for both the victims and society in general.
- There is no detailed knowledge of the problem in the media sector, and there are no expert journalists on gender issues or gender equality who may be consulted. As a result, more than often the media turn to members of the celebrity culture to be consulted about gender-based violence issues. This fact together with the lack of reliable statistics on gender-based violence or the use of forced conclusions about relevant data, makes for sensational, or simplistic conclusions, and therefore headlines.
- The use of music and other 'props', as well as problematic reporting, makes for a sensational approach to reporting, whereas hidden cameras and undercover methods, especially when used without caution, also contribute to the sensationalisation of the subject matter, and the further victimization of survivors, whose resilience and agency are more than often (intentionally) disregarded or ignored, since for mainstream media the notion of the 'victim' becomes an (everlasting) identity.
- Also, the media rarely focus on a journalism that would be beneficial to the victims, such as
 portraying responses to violence, and a depiction of what the state institutions are doing to
 combat gender-based violence. Rather, the crime is presented as the end of the story, making
 it seem as though it is inevitable / or that its effects are inevitable and inescapable.





According to Michalakeli (2020), the biased reporting of gender-based violence in the media is evident in the following features: First of all, the claim for the so called 'objective' presentation of facts, despite the sensualized content including unnecessary and disturbing details of the crime/incidence of violence. Secondly, the media depiction of gender-based violence as something that happens to people who are different to 'us'. Sexism, racism, homo/transphobia and bias towards minorities render it possible for the audience to feel untouched by this type of violence. Also, the media depiction of the victims as promiscuous, and therefore responsible for what happened to them, i.e. victim-blaming, which renders perpetrators irresponsible (e.g. through the use of phrases such as 'crime of passion', and 'family tragedy'), which is often interrelated to reports/accounts about the "shock" of the society about the crime committed by the perpetrator who up to then "was never considered a victimiser", and thus acquitting once again both the perpetrator and the local community which did not take into account any indication of previous violent acts on part of the perpetrator. Another point raised by Michalakeli (2020), is the fact that the media reproduce the idea that gender-based violence is a private issue and therefore local communities cannot (and should not) interfere.

According to Galdi and Guizzo (2021), the proliferation of sexual harassment in the media normalizes harassment behaviour and has three important primary effects: a) it increases engagement in sexual harassment, making it an everyday occurrence, b) it renders victims' acceptance of sexual harassment 'natural', and c) it discourages bystander intervention. Thus, media representations of harassment as matter or fact, or as 'normal', has a three-fold effect: **the effect on the perpetrator**, **the effect on the victim**, and **the effect on the social environment**. In what Galdi and Guizzo call **the Media-induced Sexual Harassment framework**, they recognize three mechanisms that the media activate by their objectification of women: a cognitive, an emotional and a normative mechanism. The cognitive mechanism causes a dehumanization of the objectified, the emotional disrupts empathic resonance, so that there is no empathy felt or shown towards the objectified, and thirdly, the normative mechanism shifts gender norms, so that the meaning behind each gender representation is disrupted and modified (Galdi and Guizzo 2021: 660).

Moreover, Galdi and Guizzo (2021) highlight two routes via which women are objectified in the media. Firstly, the objectification that diminishes women to their bodies and to decorative objects via the role assigned to women and presuming that their existence as citizens is secondary to their appearance and attractiveness to men. The constant reference to men's views of them, makes public space a space of "double consciousness" for women, who are called upon not to just be conscious of themselves, but also to constantly consider how they look to men/the dominant social view. The second route via which women are objectified in the media is the way interlocutors of women behave and utter their comments and conversation, which is more evidently akin to harassment. This type of depiction suggests that it is 'normal and funny' to harass, diminish and depreciate women, thus offering a public display of harassment that defines both women and the way they should be treated. It is interesting that even in the depictions of masculinities, the media offer views on how women should be treated, since studies show that men who treat women as objects of desire are seen as playful and lighthearted, and their behavior as carrying no special meaning. Moreover, it is no surprise that studies suggest that audiences of sexually objectifying media tend to endorse traditional masculine and feminine norms as an effect of their media exposure, which negatively affects empathy towards victims of sexual harassment and sexual violence (Galdi and Guizzo 2021: 647-649).

Bates (2016) in her study "Revenge Porn and Mental Health: a qualitative analysis of the mental health effects of revenge porn on female survivors" recognizes three subthemes that focus on participants' mental health issues after victimization: (a) trust issues; (b) PTSD along with anxiety and depression; and (c) self-esteem, confidence, and loss of control. Bates also examines coping mechanisms and categorizes them into two subthemes: (a) negative coping mechanisms and (b) positive coping mechanisms. In her qualitative study Bates (2016) argues that participants generally engaged in negative coping mechanisms just after the sexual harassment such as denial and self-medicating, and turned to positive coping mechanisms, such as seeking counseling, trying to make





sure their everyday life stayed the same, and trying to help others with the same problems, later on (Bates 2016: 9). Positive coping mechanisms were enhanced by support networks already in place such as friends and family (Bates 2016: 16-18).

In trying to assess the psychological effects on survivors that are depicted in media, it is significant to assess the role of institutions surrounding digital and other media. In particular, law enforcement and medical practitioners affect the mental health of survivors – as does the way the surrounding community treats them – when they confirm or undercut social stigma regarding sexual harassment. Social stigma of survivors is performed by the reproduction of narratives that blame the survivor or call him/her out for her/his reactions to the sexual harassment she/he has undergone. Other instances in which the mental health of survivors further deteriorates is when the police discourage survivors from making official reports, when communities treat stranger-assault as more serious than acquaintance (and family) assault (Bates 2016: 6) and/or when a community or law enforcement forces (i.e. the police) treat cyber-sexual harassment as less of a reason to file a complaint than offline harassment.

In a similar vein, Holladay (2016) suggests that the psychological results for victims of cyber-sexual assault are similar to that of other sexually violent crimes (p. 13) while Bates (2016) critically compares cyber-sexual harassment, and particularly revenge porn with media depictions that objectify women, to argue that the latter leaves survivors feeling the same way as many offline sexual assault survivors feel after their victimization.

3.3. Media reporting and the Greek #Metoo

Coverage of gender-based violence and more specifically of the Greek #Metoo in the mainstream (news) media was extensive especially in 2021 whereas up to that point, explicitly situating violent experiences for women and/or LGBT persons within a broader social context was at best infrequent and usually completely absent. Even then however, very few news reports included information for survivors on where to seek help and news reports rarely elevated the voices of survivors, advocates and other experts. On the contrary there was a disproportionate emphasis on law enforcement, political and criminal justice perspectives more than often through a scandal mongering lens. Despite readiness among journalists and readers to engage in news about genderbased violence, reporting that promotes public understanding of the issue is not the norm in the Greek context as well. Aiming at revealing the role of (social) media in Greece and pointing to the need for a more inclusive approach, the Media Jokers team in cooperation with ENA Institute for Alternative Policies, published a special issue in 2021 in which participating authors critically comment on the main aftermaths arising and instigated by the Greek #Metoo in public discourse (via reports and representations in newspapers, online media/social media and TV). According the authors of the special issue it is important to highlight and analyse how mainstream media report gender-based violence given that media reporting is an important indicator through which to measure progress towards shifting social and cultural norms that reinforce or challenge all types of gender-based violence in our society. Besides it is a commonplace that the media and especially the way in which a story becomes a piece news and the way in which people and facts are represented, play a critical role in shaping public opinion and affect personal, political and social response and the general sense of social justice (Sutherland, Easteal, et al, 2019: 2), since they not only regard current issues but also provide a context for their interpretation (Sutherland, McCormack, et al, 2016:1-3).

More specifically, according to Kyriakidou (2021), although at first complaints for gender-based violence in the media led to optimism about the impact of the #Metoo, the media and public discourse in Greece were mainly characterized by scandal-mongering regarding the details about the personal stories of the victims, sexism against the victims through questioning about why they decided to disclose now and homophobia. The main media frame of #Metoo was the politicization of the issue on the basis of a political scandal not aiming to highlight political responsibility and expose how the abuse of power allowed and led to the tolerance of gender-based violence and corruption but to





disempower survivors and the dynamic of the growing movement (Kyriakidou, 2021:4-5). Also, it is not astonishing that immediately after the beginning of public disclosures about sexual harassment incidents in the theatre by well-known directors and actors, reports increased rapidly and front pages became figurative often using an interesting media ploy as days before the publicization of the reports mainstream media would broadcast shows about the successful careers and lives of "perpetrators" (Gianniri, 2021:10).

Right after Sofia Bekatorou (Greek sailing champion and Olympic medalist) spoke out about a 1998 sexual assault by a high-ranking Hellenic Federation official which sparked an outcry in Greece over the revelations she disclosed, the media rushed to show their contempt towards the criminal offence of rape. Apart from contempt however, journalists started posing questions about why she decided to speak out now and not earlier, why after so many years, etc., insinuating she was at least partly responsible for her victimisation and therefore asking for answers in order to allow the truth to shine. Discourse was often used in order to silence the gravity of the incident and also to turn the public gaze elsewhere degrading the circumstances under which the crime was committed (Kavvoura, 2021: 17). What is also interesting according to Kavvoura is the fact that just a year before the beginning of the Greek #Metoo, the media have not shown equal sympathy and did not support loanna Touni who in July 2020 had disclosed an incident and the leak of a revenge porn video without her consent, despite the hashtag on social media supporting her. Touni is not accepted as part of normativity as she is a social media influencer, is not married, has no children and therefore her case did not attract the same attention and support (Kavvoura, 2021:18).

As pointed out by Mitropoulou (2021), before Bekatorou and #Metoo in Greece, there have been a number of incidents accepting and reproducing rape culture on TV. Stark examples are reporters and TV hosts laughing at sexual harassment incidents being disclosed, blaming the victim for what happened to her, and a Big Brother player who stated he "has to have sex every day otherwise he would have to rape" and being officially presented by the channel as "a 31 year-old bartender from Crete, who spends hours in the gym, is very strict about his diet and is a hunter who always want to make the first move himself." These incidents did bring forth sexual harassment, causing intense pressure by the audience especially through social media but after a short-term decompression by TV channels they did not lead to public disclosures of similar stories (Mitropoulou, 2021: 27-28). Using the Starkey, Koerber, Sternadori and Pitchford (2019) qualitative media framing analysis of news coverage about #Metoo in four national contexts (i.e. the US, Japan, Australia, and India) which reveals four media frames: a) the brave silence breaker, b) the stoic victim of an unjust system, c) the recovered or reluctant hero, and d) the hysterical slut, Mitropoulou comes to the conclusion that, in Greece #Metoo seems to have been projected less as "social media activism" and more as a "slogan" or "brand name" for the phenomenon of sexual abuse. Therefore, based on the Starkey, et al (2019) typology, she argues that the main protagonists of these stories seem to fall into the first category of media framing, i.e. the brave silence breakers who made their stories visible and disclosed sexual abuse in various sectors of public life but not all. This has opened a cycle which is still open as new reports make it to the evening newscasts – though at a slower pace (Mitropoulou, 2021: 26-30).

Avramopoulou (2021), on the other hand, aiming to understand gender-based violence and abuse beyond a reflexive reaction to the flow of news which are at the same time shocking and reproducing something which is considered commonplace/ordinary, raises a crucial question: how can we speak about gender-based and sexual violence without reproducing stigmatization or retaliation against survivors? In general, how can we speak about sexual violence without reproducing the sociopolitical trauma caused by this experience? In order to examine this question and consider the #Metoo as an opportunity for essential public deliberation about gender-based violence, Avramopoulou examines what exactly consists "a piece of news", what knowledge is the news transmitting and how do we learn something we already know. Questioning the paradox of accepting again a knowledge you already know and how gender-based violence seems inconceivable and at the same time commonplace, she argues we should not simply focus on the surprise of the news but to the





consequences of the repeatability of this knowledge. According to Avramopoulou what really matters is whether in the context of the public statement/testimony and deliberation about gender-based violence what is promoted is the individualized experience of a heterosexual, "white" and vulnerable femininity/subjectivity which as a "victim" asks for justice/vindication and whether the voices invoking trauma consider the historical context of gender-based violence and abuse as a structural phenomenon regarding us all (Avramopoulou, 2021: 47-49).

Acknowledging the difficulties entailed when deciding to disclose and report sexual harassment, Michalakea (2021) argues that the dominant media and political discourse has prevented the #Metoo from becoming a massive movement. Moreover, Michalakea stresses that for the systemic media gender-based violence reports are considered and dealt with as a spectacle and a consumer product, which has two consequences. First of all, due to the fact that sexual harassment incidents are frequently reported in "light" shows (often defined as trash TV), they are considered personal experiences and not on the basis of structural inequalities and dominant relationships. This in turn means that multiple forms of oppression and abuse experienced by poor, black and migrant women, by trans or queer people, sex workers, disabled people, single mothers, etc., remain invisible. A second consequence is the so-called phenomenon of "TV trials", broadcasted not only on gossip shows but also on the news, along with the "theatralisation" of penal cases by journalistic discourse which emerged with private TV. Using information and deterrence of gender-based violence as a pretext, various TV shows skip the principle of discretion and deontology about case files and other lawsuit documents which are leaked, put up virtual court rooms with the host of the show taking the role of the judge often in the presence of lawyers on part of the defendant and claimant even though this is unethical. This practice familiarizes the public with "peephole journalism", manipulating it to feel hatred for the perpetrator and pity for the victim and investing every incident with a double quasi pornographic gaze: of the media and of the public. This gossip continuously reproducing details about the abusive incident, not only obscures systemic causes but is also aimed at the most extreme and conservative reactions. According to Michalakea, in order to for this discourse to survive it needs moral panic. And moral panic is always based on simplified forms and caricatures. Therefore, the perpetrator of sexual crimes in the dominant journalistic discourse is often portrayed as a caricature (e.g. a black man in 19th century America, a homosexual transvestite criminal up to the 1980's, refugees in 2010, etc.) which may change forms but always consists "a stranger danger", a sick hypersexualized Other appearing in the public space and abusing it (Michalakea, 2021: 54-56).

Finally, Psyllakou (2021), also problematizes the representation of gender-based violence in the form of a major spectacle and asks us to imagine whether publicity/ publicization in the media can take place without spectacularization. She wonders if we can speak out about traumatic incidents without pain/suffering and whether we can really listen to these stories. Drawing from Allen's work (2018), Psyllakou argues that we can no more be based on dominant publicity/ publicization models and suggests we should reinvent what it means to make something public and be ready to create a new world (Psyllakou, 2021: 78-80).





Bibliography

- Alexopoulou, A., Doufexi-Kaplani, M., E., (2020), <u>National Report Greece Combat Sexual Harassment in the Workplace.</u> Teamwork Project Funded by the EU REC Programme. Athens: Social Action and Innovation Centre/ KMOP.
- Allen, G., (2018), Making Things Public: Art Magazines, Art Worlds, and the #Metoo Movement. Portable Gray, 1(1), pp. 5-14.
- Anteby-Jemini, L., Raffin, V., (2018), 'France', *in* Weil, Sh., Corradi, C., Naudi, M., (eds) *Femicides Across Europe*. European Co-operation in Science and Technology, Policy Press, pp. 109-112.
- Artinopoulou, V., Papatheodorou, Th., Papagiannopoulou, M., (2004). <u>Sexual harassment in the workplace</u>. Athens: Research Centre for Gender Equality/ KETHI (in Greek).
- Avramopolou, Eir., (2021), #Metoo or else the performativity of knowing (what we already know), *in* ENA Institute for Alternative Policies (2021). <u>The Greek #Metoo and public discourse</u>. Special Issue. (in Greek). Let's talk for the Media#4. Media Jokers, pp. 47-53.
- Bates, S., (2016), 'Revenge Porn and Mental Health: a qualitative analysis of the mental health effects of revenge porn on female survivors, *Feminist Criminology*, 1-21, DOI: 10.1177/1557085116654565
- Boyle, K., (2019), What's in a name? Theorising the Inter-relationships of gender and violence. Feminist Theory. 2019;20(1):19-36.
- Brown, A., (2020), Models of Governance of Online Hate Speech: On the emergence of collaborative governance and the challenges of giving redress to targets of online hate speech within a human rights framework in Europe, Council of Europe.
- Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women General/CEDAW, (2017), Recommendation No. 35 on gender-based violence against women, updating general recommendation No. 19. Distr.: General 14 July 2017.
- Council of Europe/ CoE, (2016), <u>Background note on sexist hate speech.</u> Prepared by the Gender Equality Unit 1 February 2016
- Council of Europe/ CoE, (2011) Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence Istanbul, 11. V.2011. Treaty Series No. 210
- Council of Europe Treaty Series, CoE, (2007), <u>Council of Europe Convention on the Protection of Children against Sexual Exploitation and Sexual Abuse Lanzarote</u>, 25.X.2007. No. 201.
- Council of Europe/ CoE, (2001), <u>Convention on Cybercrime Budapest</u>, 23.XI.2001. European Treaty Series No. 185
- Crouch, M., A (2001) *Thinking about Sexual Harassment: A Guide for the Perplexed.* New York: Oxford University Press.
- Cybersafe, (2021), <u>Cyber Violence against Women & Girls Report</u> on Cyber VAWG and CYBERSAFE Framework Final report on WP2 UL FDV. Funded by the European Union's REC Programme (2014–2020).
- DeKeseredy, WS, Schwartz, MD., (2016) <u>Thinking Sociologically About Image-Based Sexual Abuse: The Contribution of Male Peer Support Theory.</u> Sexualization, Media, & Society. December 2016.
- Douglas H., Bridget A., Harris, Molly Dragiewicz, (2019) <u>Technology-facilitated Domestic and Family Violence:</u>
 <u>Women's Experiences, The British Journal of Criminology</u>, Volume 59, Issue 3, May 2019, Pages 551–570.
- European Commission, (2022), <u>Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council, on combating violence against women and domestic violence.</u> Strasbourg, 8.3.2022 COM(2022) 105 final 2022/0066 (COD).
- EIGE, (2017a), Cyber violence against women and girls. Vilnius.
- EIGE, (2017b), Recommendations for the EU to improve data collection on violence against women. April 2017.
- EIGE, (2016). An analysis of the Victims' Rights Directive from a gender perspective. Luxembourg.
- ENA Institute for Alternative Policies (2021). <u>The Greek #Metoo and public discourse</u>. <u>Special Issue.</u> Let's talk for the Media#4. Media Jokers
- European Commission, (2016), Study on the gender dimension of trafficking in human beings Final report.

 Authors Authorship: Sylvia Walby, Birgit Apitzsch, Jo Armstrong, Susie Balderston, Karolina Follis, Brian Francis, Liz Kelly, Corinne May-Chahal, Awais Rashid, Karen Shire, Jude Towers, Markus Tunte.

 Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.
- European Network of Legal Experts in Gender Equality and Non-discrimination and European Commission (2021), Criminalisation of gender-based violence against women in European States, including ICT-





- <u>facilitated violence. A special report.</u> Authors Sara De Vido and Lorena Sosa. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.
- European Network of Legal Experts in the Field of Gender Equality and European Commission, (2013), <u>Harassment related to sex and sexual harassment law in 33 European countries: discrimination versus dignity.</u> Ann Numhauser-Henning and Sylvaine Laulom. Greece by Sophia Koukoulis-Spiliotopoulos (pgs 116-127). Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.
- European Parliament (2021) <u>Combating gender-based violence</u>: <u>cyberviolence European Parliament resolution</u> <u>of 14 December 2021 with recommendations to the Commission on combating gender-based violence</u>: <u>cyberviolence</u> (2020/2035(INL))
- European Parliament, (2018), Empowering women and girls through the digital sector European Parliament resolution of 17 April 2018 on empowering women and girls through the digital sector (2017/3016(RSP)). 2014-2019 TEXTS ADOPTED P8_TA (2018)0102
- European Parliament, (2018) Gender equality in the media sector in the EU. European Parliament resolution of 17 April 2018 on gender equality in the media sector in the EU (2017/2210(INI)). 2014-2019 TEXTS ADOPTED P8 TA (2018)0101
- European Parliament, (2017), <u>Combating sexual harassment and abuse in the EU.</u> European Parliament resolution of 26 October 2017 on combating sexual harassment and abuse in the EU (2017/2897(RSP)). 2014-2019 TEXTS ADOPTED P8 TA (2017)0417
- European Parliament, (2017) <u>The fight against cybercrime</u>. European Parliament resolution of 3 October 2017 on the fight against cybercrime (2017/2068(INI)). 2014-2019 TEXTS ADOPTED P8_TA (2017) 0366.
- European Parliament, (2017), <u>EU accession to the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence.</u> European Parliament resolution of 12 September 2017 on the proposal for a Council decision on the conclusion, by the European Union, of the Council of Europe Convention on preventing and combating violence against women and domestic violence (COM (2016)0109 2016/0062(NLE)). 2014-2019 TEXTS ADOPTED P8_TA (2017)0329.
- European Parliament, (2016), <u>Equality between women and men in the EU in 2014-2015</u>. European Parliament resolution of 14 March 2017 on equality between women and men in the European Union in 2014-2015 (2016/2249(INI)). 2014-2019 TEXTS ADOPTED P8_TA (2017)0073
- European Parliament, (2016), <u>Sexual exploitation and prostitution and its impact on gender equality.</u> European Parliament resolution of 26 February 2014 on sexual exploitation and prostitution and its impact on gender equality (2013/2103(INI)). P7 TA (2014)0162.
- European Parliamentary Research Service/EPRS, (2021), <u>Combating gender-based violence</u>: <u>Cyberviolence</u>, <u>European added value assessment</u>. Authors: Niombo Lomba, Cecilia Navarra and Meenakshi Fernandes. Brussels: European Union.
- European Union, (2012) <u>Directive 2012/29/EU</u> of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2012 establishing minimum standards on the rights, support and protection of victims of crime, and replacing Council Framework Decision 2001/220/JHA
- European Union, (2011), <u>Directive 2011/93/EU</u> of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 December 2011 on combating the sexual abuse and sexual exploitation of children and child pornography, and replacing Council Framework Decision 2004/68/JHA
- European Union, (2011), <u>Directive 2011/36/EU</u> of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 April 2011 on preventing and combating trafficking in human beings and protecting its victims, and replacing Council Framework Decision 2002/629/JHA (OJ L 101, 1 15.4.2011).
- Farley, L., (1979), Sexual Shakedown: The Sexual Harassment of Women on the Job. New York: Warner Books.
- FEMM Committee, (2018), <u>Cyber violence and hate speech online against women Study.</u> European Parliament Policy Department for Citizens' Rights and Constitutional Affairs Directorate General for Internal Policies of the Union PE 604.979 September 2018.
- FEMM Committee, (2018), DRAFT REPORT on measures to prevent and combat mobbing and sexual harassment at workplace, in public spaces, and political life in the EU (2018/2055(INI)) Committee on Women's Rights and Gender Equality Rapporteur: Pina Picierno.
- Fitzgerald, L.F. & Cortina, L.M. (1985). <u>Sexual harassment in work organizations: A view from the twenty-first century.</u> In J.W. White & C. Travis (Eds.), *Handbook on the Psychology of Women.* Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Fitzgerald, L. F., & Shullman, S. L. (1985, August). The development and validation of an objectively scored measure of sexual harassment. Paper presented to the annual meeting of the American Psychological Association, Los Angela, CA.



- FRA European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights, (2020), <u>EU-LGBTI II A long way to go for LGBTI equality.</u>
 Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.
- FRA European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights, (2014b), <u>EU LGBT survey European Union lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender survey. Main results.</u> Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.
- FRA European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights, (2014a), <u>Violence against women: an EU-wide survey.</u>

 <u>Main Results.</u> Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.
- Galdi, S., Guizzo, F. (2021), <u>Media-Induced Sexual Harassment: The Routes from Sexually Objectifying Media to Sexual Harassment.</u> Sex Roles 84, 645–669.
- General Secretariat for Demography and Family Policy and Gender Equality/GSDFPGE, (2021), 2nd Report for Violence Against Women. Athens: Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs. GSDFPGE (in Greek).
- General Secretariat for Demography and Family Policy and Gender Equality/GSDFPGE, (2020), <u>1st Annual Report on Violence Against Women.</u> Athens: Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs. GSDFPGE.
- Gianniri, L., (2021), "Here too": Some media representations of the intersectoral #Metoo in Greece, *in* ENA Institute for Alternative Policies (2021). <u>The Greek #Metoo and public discourse. Special Issue.</u> (in Greek). Let's talk for the Media#4. Media Jokers, pp. 9-14.
- Harris, B., Woodlock, D., (2018), Digital coercive control: Insights from two landmark domestic violence studies. The British Journal of Criminology, 59(3), 530–550.
- Henry N., Flynn A., (2019) Image-Based Sexual Abuse: Online Distribution Channels and Illicit Communities of Support. *Violence Against Women*. 2019;25(16):1932-1955. doi:10.1177/1077801219863881
- Henry N., Flynn A., Powell A., (2020), <u>Technology-Facilitated Domestic and Sexual Violence</u>: A Review. Violence Against Women. 2020; 26 (15-16):1828-1854.
- Holladay, K., R. (2016), Thesis, An Investigation of the influence of cyber-sexual assault on the experience of emotional dysregulation, depression, post-traumatic stress disorder, and trauma guilt, Jacksonville University.
- Interparliamentary Union/IPU (2016). Issues Brief, October 2016. Sexism, harassment and violence against women parliamentarians.
- Interparliamentary Union/IPU and Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe/PACE (2018), Issues Brief, October 2018. <u>Sexism, harassment and violence against women in parliaments in Europe.</u>
- Kanaouti, S., (2020), 'Changing the Narrative: against sexist stereotypes', in Pleios, G., Kanaouti, S., Chronaki, D., Practical Guide for Journalists and media practitioners: for the reinforcement of women politicians and media practitioners taking part in the public dialogue, Guide and e-Book for the project "Capacity Building for women candidates and media stakeholders in public debates in Greece GENDER PUBLIC DEBATE", pp. 26-28.
- Kanaouti, S., (2019), 'Psychologising evil in the media: a market-like exchange of political responsibility for isolation', in *Perspectives on Evil*, ed. Kanta Dihal, Brill: Boston, Massachusetts, pp.142-170.
- Kavvoura, Th., (2021), "Why now?", *in* ENA Institute for Alternative Policies (2021). The Greek #Metoo and public discourse. Special Issue. (in Greek). Let's talk for the Media#4. Media Jokers, pp. 16-19.
- Kelly, L., (1988), Surviving sexual violence. Cambridge: Oxford: Polity Press; B. Blackwell
- Kelly, L., (1987), The Continuum of Sexual Violence. In: Hanmer, J., Maynard, M. (eds) Women, Violence and Social Control. Explorations in Sociology. Palgrave Macmillan, London. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-18592-4 4
- Kyriakidou, M., (2021) #Metoo in the context of familiar media, *in* ENA Institute for Alternative Policies (2021).

 <u>The Greek #Metoo and public discourse. Special Issue.</u> (in Greek). Let's talk for the Media#4. Media Jokers, pp. 5-8.
- Mackinnon, C., A (1979), Sexual harassment of working women: a case of sex discrimination. New Haven: Yale University Press
- Michalakea, A., (2021), Spectacle, moral panic and the expansion of state authoritarianism: Three points for the Greek #Metoo,), *in* ENA Institute for Alternative Policies (2021). <u>The Greek #Metoo and public discourse.</u> Special Issue. (in Greek). Let's talk for the Media#4. Media Jokers, pp. 54-59.
- Michalakeli, A. (2020) 'On femicide in public speech and public sphere' in Petraki, G., (ed), *Femicides*. *Ascertainments, queries and questions* (in Greek). Athens: Gutenberg Social Policy Notebooks.
- Mitropoulou, A., (2021), "Breaking the silence": Media representations of #Metoo in Greece", *in* ENA Institute for Alternative Policies (2021). <u>The Greek #Metoo and public discourse</u>. Special Issue. (in Greek). Let's talk for the Media#4. Media Jokers, pp. 25-32.
- Moshovakou, N., Papagiannopoulou, M., (2022). <u>Violence and harassment in the workplace. Training manual.</u>
 Athens: Research Centre for Gender Equality/ KETHI (in Greek).





- Papagianopoulou, M., Kasdagli, St., Mourtzaki, M., (2020), <u>Research entitled Not part of the job. Sexual harassment against women at work. Examining the catering and tourist sectors</u>. Athens: ActionAid Hellas.
- Peglidou, A., (2018), 'Greece', *in* Weil, Sh., Corradi, C., Naudi, M., (eds), *Femicides Across Europe*. European Cooperation in Science and Technology, Policy Press, pp.116-117.
- Powell, A., Henry, N., & Flynn, A., (2018), "Image-based sexual abuse" in W DeKeseredy and M Dragiewicz (Eds), Routledge Handbook of Critical Criminology: 2nd Edition, pp. 305-315, Routledge: London.
- Powell, A., & Henry, N., (2017) Sexual Violence and Harassment in the Digital Era. The Palgrave Handbook of Australian and New Zealand Criminology, Crime and Justice, ISBN:978-3-319-55746-5.
- Psyllakou, E., (2021), At the TV couch, *in* ENA Institute for Alternative Policies (2021). <u>The Greek #Metoo and public discourse</u>. Special Issue. (in Greek). Let's talk for the Media#4. Media Jokers, pp. 78-80.
- Read-Hamilton, S., (2014), 'Gender-based violence: a confused and contested term', Humanitarian Exchange Magazine, 60, 5-8.
- Reyns, Bradford & Burek, Melissa & Henson, Billy & Fisher, Bonnie. (2011). The unintended consequences of digital technology: Exploring the relationship between sexting and cybervictimization. *Journal of Crime and Justice*, 36.
- Starkey, J. C., Koerber, A., Sternadori, M., & Pitchford, B. (2019). #Metoo goes global: Media framing of silence breakers in four national settings. Journal of Communication Inquiry, 43(4), pp. 437-461.
- Sutherland, G., McCormack, A., Pirkis, J., Vaughan, C., Dunne-Breen, M., Easteal, P., & Holland, K. (2016), Media representations of violence against women and their children: Final report (ANROWS Horizons, 03/2016). Sydney: ANROWS.
- Sutherland, G., Easteal, P., Holland, K. & Vaughan, C., (2019), <u>Mediated representations of violence against</u> women in the mainstream news in Australia. BMC Public Health. 19, 502.
- The Greek Ombudsman, (2021), <u>Equal Treatment Special Report, 2020.</u> Editor in Chief Kalliopi Lykovardi. Athens: General Secretariat of Information Systems.
- The Greek Ombudsman, (2010), <u>Report on the Ombudsman's Experience on Sexual Harassment 2006-2010.</u>
 Deputy Ombudsman: Stamatina Giannakourou.
- Till, Fr., (1980), Sexual harassment: A report on the sexual harassment of students. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Dept. of Education.
- UN General Assembly (2018), Report of the Special Rapporteur on violence against women, its causes and consequences on online violence against women and girls from a human rights perspective. Human Rights Council Thirty-eighth session 18 June–6 July 2018
- UN General Assembly (2018), Human Rights Council Thirty-eighth session 18 June–6 July 2018 Agenda item 3 Resolution adopted by the Human Rights Council on 5 July 2018 38/7. The promotion, protection and enjoyment of human rights on the Internet. A/HRC/RES/38/7 Distr.: General 17 July 2018
- UN General Assembly (2016) Resolution adopted by the Human Rights Council on 1 July 2016 32/13. The promotion, protection and enjoyment of human rights on the Internet. Distr.: General 18 July 2016
- UN General Assembly (2016), Seventy-first session Third Committee Agenda item 68 (b) Promotion and protection of human rights: human rights questions, including alternative approaches for improving the effective enjoyment of human rights and fundamental freedoms. The right to privacy in the digital age. A/C.3/71/L.39/Rev.1 Distr.: Limited 16 November 2016
- UN General Assembly (2013), Resolution adopted by the General Assembly on 18 December 2013 [on the report of the Third Committee (A/68/456/Add.2)] 68/181. Promotion of the Declaration on the Right and Responsibility of Individuals, Groups and Organs of Society to Promote and Protect Universally Recognized Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms: protecting women human rights defenders.
- UNESCO, (2019), <u>Reporting on Violence against Women and Girls: A Handbook for journalists.</u> Impe, Anne-Marie (author), Mirta Lurenco (editor).
- Vera- Gray, F., (2017): "talk about a cunt with too much idle time" Trolling feminist research. Feminist Review 115 (1): 61-78.
- Vouyioukas, A., Liapi, A., (2020), 'Gender and Intersectional dimensions of the pandemic, and repercussions on equality, rights and freedoms' (in Greek). Athens: N. Poulantzas Institute.



